



Annex to the "visiting professors" programme

Proponent from WUT	
Title and degree	DSc PhD Eng
Name and surname	Agnieszka Dąbska
Faculty	Building Services, Hydro and Environmental Engineering
E-mail address in the WUT domain	agnieszka.dabska@pw.edu.pl
Phone number	+48 22 234 74 53

The person proposed as a visiting professor					
Title and degree	Prof., Ing., CSc.				
Name and surname	Jaromir Riha				
Exact affiliation	Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering,				
	Czech Republic				
E-mail address	Jaromir.Riha@vut.cz				
Description of	PUBLICATIONS:				
achievements	author or co-author of:				
(1/2-1 page)	- more than 70 professional and scientific papers in journals, 44				
(1/2 1 page)	indexed in Scopus (h index = 12), 38 in WOS (h index = 10)				
	- 26 monographs, textbooks and guidelines				
	- more than 200 conference papers and contributions				
	more than 150 research (basic and applied) reports				
	- about 60 technical studies and designs				
	EXPERT ASSESSMENTS author or co-author of:				
	- more than 600 expert assessments				
	- 51 forensic judgements				
	MEMBERSHIPS				
	- International Association for Hydro-Environment Engineering and Research (IAHR)				
	- International Committee on Large Dams (ICOLD)				
	- Czech Committee on Large Dams (ICOLD)				
	FACULTY POSTS				
	- member of scientific committee (since 2005)				
	- member of curriculum committee (2004 - 2010)				
	- member of faculty scientific board (2004 - 2008)				
	- member of committee for Ph.D. (since 2002, in 2004-2014				
	chairperson)				
	IEACHING (since 1987)				
	- more of upforma graduates in DSc and MSc degrees				





Code of the course	4606-VP-ES-000	19	Name o	of the course	I	Polish		Bezpieczeństwo konstrukcji hydrotechnicznych		eji
					I	English		Safety of hydraulic structures		ures
Type of the course	Speciality subjec	t								
Course coordinator	Prof. Jaromir Riha Con			Course	rse teacher Prof. Jaromir Riha					
Implementing unit	Faculty of Buildi Services, Hydro Environmental Engineering	Ity of Building ices, Hydro and ronmental neering		ïc discipline ciplines*	/ / / C H H	Architecture and urban planning Civil engineering and transport Environmental engineering, mining and energy Physical sciences				
Level of education	Doctoral S	ctoral School Semester Summer								
Language of the course	English									
Type of assessment	Pass or I	Fall Number of he		ber of hours semester	of hours in 30 mester			ECTS credits		2
Minimum number of participants	12		Maximum number of participants		er	100		Available for studer (BSc, MSc)	nts	Yes
Type of classes		Lect	Lecture		Auditory classes		et classes	Laboratory		Seminar
Number of hours	in a week	-		-		-		-		-
	in a semester	30		-			-	-		-

 \ast does not apply to the Researcher's Workshop

1. Prerequisites

- 1. Knowledge:
- in mathematics, statistics
- some knowledge in water management and urban planning,
- 2. Skills:
- good command of English in speaking and understanding,
- use of computers

2. Course objectives

- 1. Provide the students with the definition of safety, reliability, risk and other related terms such as hazard,
- consequences, exposure, etc.
- 2. Understand what is "safety factor", advantages and disadvantages of the safety factor approach.
- 3. Understand what is "limit state method", advantages and disadvantages of the approach.
- 4. Adopt steps in safety assessment procedure
- 5. Develop skills to make the safety assessment of engineering structures..

3. Course content (separate for each type of classes)

Lecture

1. Introduction, definitons, safety of hydraulic structures – brief introduction to traditional methods.

2. Shortage of traditional methods, motivation to the more sophisticated approaches.

- 3. The assessment using the safety factor approach, advantages, disadvantages, general approaches.
- 4. The assessment using the limit state method (method of partial reliability coefficients), advantages, disadvantages.
- 5. Practical cases global stability sliding, overturning, uplift.
- 6. Practical cases slope stability.
- 7. Practical cases local stability.
- 8. Practical cases special particular cases in hydraulic engineering (internal erosion, overtopping, scouring).
- 9. Risk based approaches.

Laboratory





4. Learning outcomes						
Type of learning outcomes	Learning outcomes description	Reference to the learning outcomes of the WUT DS	Learning outcomes verification methods*			
Knowledge						
K01	Acquisition of knowledge about the safety assessment of civil structures, namely hydraulic structures.	SD_W1, SD_W2, SD_W3	Assessment of activity during classes			
K02	Acquisition of knowledge about the methods of assessment, their comparison.	SD_W1, SD_W2, SD_W3	Assessment of activity during classes			
K03	Acquisition of knowledge about the application of risk analysis in water management.	SD_W1, SD_W2, SD_W3	Assessment of activity during classes			
Skills						
S01	Ability of formulating safety criteria for civil structures.	SD_U1, SD_U2, SD_U3, SD_U4	Active participation during classes			
S02	Ability of application risk based methods in safety of hydraulic structures	SD_U1, SD_U2, SD_U3, SD_U4	Active participation during classes			
	Social competence	ces				
SC01	Ability to work in a team and international collaboration. Ready to think and act in a creative and entrepreneurial way to apply methods for safety assessment of civil structures.	SD_K2, SD_K4	Active participation during classes			

_

*Allowed learning outcomes verification methods: exam; oral exam; oral test; project evaluation; report evaluation; presentation evaluation; active participation during classes; homework; tests

5. Assessment criteria

Grading (pass or not) based upon presence on the classes and oral examination (interview).

6. Literature

Primary references:

- [1] EN 1990 (2002) (English): Eurocode Basis of structural design [Authority: The European Union Per Regulation 305/2011, Directive 98/34/EC, Directive 2004/18/EC]
- [2] EN 1997-1 (2004) (English): Eurocode 7: Geotechnical design Part 1: General rules [Authority: The European Union Per Regulation 305/2011, Directive 98/34/EC, Directive 2004/18/EC].
- [3] BULLETIN 59. 1987. Dam safety guidelines, ICOLD, 1987, 185 p.
- [4] DUNCAN, J. M. WRIGHT, S. G. 2005. Soil Strength and Soil Stability. J. Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2005, 297 p.
- [5 FRANK, R. BAUDUIN, C. DRISCOLL, R. KAVVADAS, M. OVESEN, N. K. ORR, T. SCHUPPENER, B. 2004. Designers' Guide to EN 1997-1 Euro-code 7: Geotechnical Design - General Rules, Thomas Telford, 2004, 232 p.

Secondary references:

- BOND, A. SCHUPPENER, B. SCARPELLI, G. ORR, T. 2013. Eurocode 7: Geotechnical Design Worked examples. JRC, Luxembourg, 2013, 172 p.
- [2 KREUZER, H. LÉGER, P. 2013. The Adjustable Factor of Safety: A reliability-based approach to assess the factor of safety for concrete dams. The In-ternational Journal on Hydropower & Dams, Issue I, p. 1-24.





7. PhD student's workload necessary to achieve the learning outcomes**					
No.	Description	Number of hours			
1	Hours of scheduled instruction given by the academic teacher in the classroom	30			
2	Hours of consultations with the academic teacher, exams, tests, etc.	10			
3	Amount of time devoted to the preparation for classes, preparation of presentations, reports, projects, homework	15			
4	Amount of time devoted to the preparation for exams, test, assessments	5			
	60				
	2				
** 1 ECTS	** 1 ECTS = 25-30 hours of the PhD students work (2 ECTS = 60 hours; 4 ECTS = 110 hours, etc.)				

8. Additional information	
Number of ECTS credits for classes requiring direct participation of academic teachers	2
Number of ECTS credits earned by a student in a practical course	-